S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.2549/2006
Date : 05.07.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Sandeep Mehta for the applicants.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawha, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicants as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I am of the opinion that in this case benefit of anticipatory bail should be granted to applicant No.1 Smt. Paras Devi wife of Kapoor Chand in view of provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure as she is an old lady. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant Smt. Paras Devi wife of Kapoor Chand by I.O./S.H.O.in FIR No..194/2006 P.S. City Kotwali, Bhilwara, she shall be released on bail provided she furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- alongwith two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer on the following conditions:-

(i) That she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) That she shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

(iii) That she shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

However, learned counsel for the applicants does not want to press the bail application of applicant No.2 Shreepal. Accordingly, bail application moved under Section 438 Cr.P.C. on behalf of Shreepal stands dismissed as not pressed.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.